



ISTITUTO DI STUDI E ANALISI ECONOMICA

**The Euro adoption's impact on extensive
and intensive margins of trade:
the Italian case**

by

Sergio de Nardis

ISAE, Piazza dell'Indipendenza, 4, 00185 - Rome - Italy
e-mail: s.denardis@isae.it

Carmine Pappalardo

ISAE, Piazza dell'Indipendenza, 4, 00185 - Rome - Italy
e-mail: c.pappalardo@isae.it

Claudio Vicarelli

ISAE, Piazza dell'Indipendenza, 4, 00185 - Rome - Italy
e-mail: c.vicarelli@isae.it

Working paper n. 101
July 2008

The Series “*Documenti di Lavoro*” of the *Istituto di Studi e Analisi Economica* – Institute for Studies and Economic Analyses (ISAE) hosts the preliminary results of the research projects carried out within ISAE. The diffusion of the papers is subject to the favourable opinion of an anonymous referee, whom we would like to thank. The opinions expressed are merely the Authors’ own and in no way involve the ISAE responsibility.

The series is meant for experts and policy-makers with the aim of submitting proposals and raising suggestions and criticism.

La serie “Documenti di Lavoro” dell’Istituto di Studi e Analisi Economica ospita i risultati preliminari di ricerche predisposte all’interno dell’ISAE: La diffusione delle ricerche è autorizzata previo il parere favorevole di un anonimo esperto della materia che qui si ringrazia. Le opinioni espresse nei “Documenti di Lavoro” riflettono esclusivamente il pensiero degli autori e non impegnano la responsabilità dell’Ente.

La serie è destinata agli esperti e agli operatori di politica economica, al fine di formulare proposte e suscitare suggerimenti o critiche.

Stampato presso la sede dell’Istituto

ISAE - Piazza dell’Indipendenza, 4 – 00185 Roma.

Tel. +39-06444821; www.isae.it

ABSTRACT

The recent theoretical literature has focused on the importance of extensive and intensive margins of trade in the case of the Euro adoption. But few works have investigated the effects of the euro introduction on the extensive and intensive margins of trade. All these studies have used disaggregated bilateral flows data (6 digit). However, not even the finest level of disaggregation in the publicly available trade data is enough to single out individual products.

We try to fill this gap by using a unique dataset taken from ISAE surveys on Italian manufacturing firms. From this quarterly survey it is possible to obtain information about both the structural characteristics (geographical location, industrial sector of activity, number of employees) and exporting behaviour of firms.

We concentrate our analysis on the period 1997-2001, covering the two years before and the three years after the euro introduction. In line with large part of the empirical literature on bilateral trade, we estimate a gravity equation using a Hausman and Taylor estimator (HT).

Our results show that the introduction of the euro has not had any effect on export turnover. This evidence seems to match other empirical findings on Italy, both at aggregate and sectoral level. However, interaction terms between the euro dummy and the group of "entering firms" (firms that started to export after the euro introduction) and that of "persistent firms" (firms that exported in the euro area before and after 1999) are positive and statistically significant, showing a positive effect of the common currency on extensive and intensive margins of trade. Indeed, the magnitude of the coefficient of the former is higher than the latter: in the Italian case, empirical findings for the Euro area as a whole seem to be confirmed.

In our view, the euro introduction has had a positive effect on the extensive margin: a small group of firms benefited from it by starting to export in the Eurozone market. However, the total size of this group is very small; this finding may be due to the average small size of Italian manufacturing firms and to their scarce presence in the ICIR sectors (Imperfect Competition and Increasing Return sectors). Following theoretical indications, these latter are sectors that may have benefited more from the euro introduction: firms usually have lower marginal costs and they can easily cover the fixed costs of export activity if these costs are reduced, as they are when a common currency is introduced.

Keywords: Trade, Euro, Export Margins.

JEL codes: F14, F15, C23.

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	6
3	A SHORT SURVEY OF EMPIRICAL STUDIES ON EXTENSIVE AND INTENSIVE MARGINS OF TRADE	7
4	ISAE SURVEYS ON ITALIAN MANUFACTURING EXPORTING FIRMS	9
5	EMPIRICAL STRATEGY	11
6	EQUATION	13
7	ESTIMATES RESULTS	15
8	CONCLUSIONS	18
	References	20

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the main advantages expected to arise from the creation of EMU was its positive impact on intra-area trade flows and on foreign direct investments deriving from the elimination of trade costs related to exchange rate volatility, economic exchanges in different currencies, and a greater price transparency.

For the Euro area as a whole, empirical analyses on the first few years of existence of the euro have generally reported a modest, though statistically significant, effect. However, this effect has not been widespread either among members (in some countries it is not statistically significant) or among sectors. On theoretical grounds, different sectors may have benefited from euro adoption in a heterogeneous way; at national level, the differences among manufacturing specialisation may have given rise to “winners and losers” of EMU process.

More recently, increasing attention has been paid to this topic at a micro level. Economic models have been developed which focus on firms’ exporting behaviour: a decrease in fixed entry costs, as happens when a common currency is adopted, on the one hand may lead to the entry into export markets of new and less productive firms (“extensive margins”), and on the other, may increase the value of exports of firms already exporting abroad (“intensive margins”).

Few studies have investigated the effects of the euro introduction on the extensive and intensive margins of trade. Most of them have used disaggregated bilateral flows data (6 digit), finding that the number of exported goods increased after the introduction of euro, and thus confirming the effects of euro on extensive margin. However, these results cannot be exhaustive. In fact, as Baldwin himself stressed, “...the ideal dataset would be to have partner-specific export data by firm”. Not even the finest level of disaggregation in the publicly available trade data is enough to single out individual products.

We try to fill this gap by using a unique dataset taken from ISAE surveys on Italian manufacturing firms. From this quarterly survey it is possible to obtain information about both the structural characteristics (geographical location, industrial sector of activity, number of employees) and the exporting behaviour of firms. In particular, among other things, firms declare their export turnover (as a share of total turnover) and their exporting markets both in EU and extra-EU countries.

The paper is organised as follows The second and the third sections describe the theoretical framework and conduct a short survey of previous empirical works. The fourth section describes the dataset; the fifth and the sixth

section presents the empirical strategy and the estimated equation. Section seven sets out the estimates results. Conclusions follow.

2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

We assume as reference the Baldwin and Di Nino (2006) framework which adopts the Melitz (2003) model to study the consequences of trade integration (falling trade costs) on commercial exchanges of integrating countries. In this model, higher marginal cost translates, through a mark-up rule, into higher price, and this, given (constant) demand elasticity, determines lower revenue and hence lower profit. Therefore not all firms are able to export; only those endowed with suitable technology (marginal cost) find it profitable to sell abroad.

Using the subscripts o, d, j to indicate variables that “come” from country o , “go” to country d , and refer to variety j , the profit of a firm located in o and selling a variety j to destination market d is

$$\pi_{o,d,j} = \tau_{o,d}^{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} w_o a_{o,j} \right)^{(1-\sigma)} \frac{B_d}{\sigma} - w_o F_d \quad (1)$$

where $a_{o,j}$ is the amount of labour required to produce 1 unit of variety j and w_o is the per capita wage, so that $w_o a_{o,j}$ is marginal cost; $\tau_{o,d}$ is the (iceberg-like) transport cost incurred by the firm in transferring variety j from o to d ; $\sigma > 1$ is the constant elasticity of substitution between varieties; $B_d = E_d / P_d^{1-\sigma}$ is real total expenditure of the consumers of country d (E_d being the nominal expenditure of country d); $w_o F_d$ is the fixed cost of entering market d ; $\tau_{o,d}^{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} w_o a_{o,j} \right)^{(1-\sigma)} \frac{B_d}{\sigma}$ represents variable (or operating) profit in selling to destination d .

The non-negative profit condition entails that exporting firms are only those that have a marginal cost such that

$$\pi_{o,d,j} = \tau_{o,d}^{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} w_o a_{o,j} \right)^{(1-\sigma)} \frac{B_d}{\sigma} - w_o F_d \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

From which the cutoff marginal cost, $w_o \bar{a}_{o,j}$, is derived

$$\tau_{o,d}^{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} w_o \bar{a}_{o,j} \right)^{(1-\sigma)} \frac{B_d}{\sigma} = w_o F_d \quad (3)$$

Given this condition, the value of exports of a firm located in o to destination d is

$$X_{o,d,j} = \begin{cases} \tau_{o,d}^{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} w_o a_{o,j} \right)^{(1-\sigma)} B_d \Rightarrow a_{o,j} \leq \bar{a}_{o,j} \\ X_{o,d,j} = 0 \Rightarrow a_{o,j} > \bar{a}_{o,j} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

A fall in the bilateral transport cost, $\tau_{o,d}$, or in the fixed entry cost, F_d , stimulates bilateral exports. The drop in $\tau_{o,d}$ favours both the intensive margin (more foreign sales by incumbent exporters) and the extensive margin (more firms can realize variable profits greater than the fixed entry cost); a decrease in F_d stimulates only the extensive margin (less efficient firms start exporting because the fixed entry cost has diminished). Movements in the extensive margins identify the pro-trade effects, coming from trade liberalization, that Baldwin (2006) defines as “new goods” hypothesis.

The former expression suggests a firm-level gravity-like equation, where sales of variety j from the firm located in o to market j depend negatively on the transport cost and positively on destination market (real) expenditure.

3 A SHORT SURVEY OF EMPIRICAL STUDIES ON EXTENSIVE AND INTENSIVE MARGINS OF TRADE

The role of extensive and intensive margins of trade has been investigated by many studies, but the great heterogeneity of countries (advanced and emerging economies) and the time span analysed have led to very different results in regard to the quantitative impact of these two factors on trade growth.

Among more recent studies, Hummels and Klenow (2005) have found that extensive margins account for 60 percent of exports by the larger economies; this percentage decreased to 33% in the case of emerging economies between 1970 and 1997 (Evenett and Venables (2002)). The results obtained by Felbermayr and Kohler (2006) show that extensive margins played an important

role in the growth of world trade between 1950-1970 and again in the mid 1990s, while greater support was provided by intensive margins in the years thereafter. These findings have been confirmed by Helpman et al. (2007): the majority of trade growth between 1970 and 1997 was attributable to the intensive margin rather than to the extensive margin. Trade liberalisation seems to have increased the importance of extensive margins: Felbermayr and Kohler (2007) find that the WTO has promoted trade at the extensive margin even if it may not have done so at the intensive one.

Besedes and Prusa (2007) decomposed these margins into three components (establishing new partners and markets, having relationships survive or persist, and deepening existing relationships) and found that differences along the extensive margin have very little impact on long-run export growth. By contrast, by improving their performance with respect to the two key components of the intensive margin (survival and deepening), developing countries have achieved significantly higher export growth.

As regards the euro's impact on trade, few studies have analysed the role of extensive and intensive margins. Baldwin and Di Nino (2006) and Flam and Nordstrom (2006) used product-level bilateral trade data at the HS6 level. Both studies adopted a gravity approach.

The common theoretical framework is the "new goods" hypothesis. The results have shown that after euro adoption, the number of goods exported increased, which is evidence of the effect of the common currency on the extensive margin. Indeed, this effect has been greater with respect to the intensive margin, which is equally positive and statistically significant.

However, even if a high level of disaggregation of trade data is used, it is not possible to single out individual products and investigate how and whether firms' behaviour changed after the Euro introduction. Firm-level data are needed for this purpose.

More recently, a couple of studies analysed this topic using firm level data.

Berthou and Fontagnè (2008) have analysed the micro effects of EMU by using a database on French firm level exports over the period 1998-2003. Defining the extensive and intensive margins of French exports as the value of exports by variety and the number of varieties exported to each destination country, Berthou and Fontagnè estimated two gravity equations with the two margins as the dependent variable. They found a positive effect of the euro on the extensive margin of French exports, while the results on the intensive margin were not significant, or even negative in some years.

In Baldwin et alii (2008) is reported also a similar study for Belgium, using firm level data on exports that gives details on which product are exported and

to where with an higher level of disaggregation (8 digit product level)¹; results showed a clear evidence of a pro-trade effect of the euro trough both intensive and extensive margins.

In line with this very recent trend, we use a unique firm level dataset for Italian manufacturing firms to investigate firms' export behaviour before and after the euro introduction. The main characteristics of this dataset are described in the next section .

4 ISAE SURVEYS ON ITALIAN MANUFACTURING EXPORTING FIRMS

The empirical exercise was carried out using firm-level data drawn from the ISAE surveys on the exporting firms in the Italian manufacturing sector. Data were gathered on a quarterly basis covering the basic information on firms' structural features (geographic location, industrial sector, number of employees). Additionally, a restricted set of variables, which allowed investigation of behaviours specific to exporting firms, was available in the form of the qualitative assessments of entrepreneurs: These referred to i) the change of total turnover in the current period and its very short-run prospects, ii) the pricing to the destination countries evaluated, for each product, as the ratio between the price applied on both external and internal markets, iii) the obstacles to exports, grouped into price and non-price factors, which potentially affect firms' exporting performances.

The share of the exported turnover (in terms of the firm's overall production at current prices) was the reference quantitative information in our dataset and was the dependent variable in the subsequent empirical analysis. Its breakdown with respect to exporting markets was particularly detailed when the European destinations were concerned, more aggregated in the case of extra EU markets.

Specifically, the empirical exercise was carried out on the sub-sample of manufacturing firms observed in each quarter of the time interval 1997-2001. The information selected was averaged on a yearly basis to obtain a balanced panel consisting of 823 production units per each period (corresponding to about $\frac{1}{4}$ of the original sample size) and of 4115 observations as a whole. To

¹ In the same paper, it has been reported similar exercise for non EMU countries like Hungary and Sweden. Also if the newly traded good hypothesis concerns nations that share the common currency, there are several variants of the mechanism that would allow for a similar effect on export coming from non-Eurozone countries.

enable the estimation of a gravity model applied to the study of exports decisions, the dataset was augmented with some external variables, particularly GDP figures at constant prices for each destination market and, a measure of distance from each country as a proxy for the costs related to internationalisation. The structure of the database, including the means and the standard deviations for the main variables, is reported in table 1.

The database still preserved the firm's exporting frequency on a quarterly basis, a variable which can be considered an additional (discrete) proxy for the propensity to export at firm-level: the higher the absolute frequencies, the greater the firm's vocation to export, irrespective of the incidence of turnover obtained by selling the production abroad.

We used this information especially to identify a broad taxonomy of manufacturing firms.

Tab. 1 **Structure of the dataset**
(1997-2001)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Min	Max
Export propensity	0.57	0.50	0	1
Taxonomy of manufacturing firms				
Entering	0.04	0.20	0	1
Leaver	0.03	0.18	0	1
Persistent	0.53	0.50	0	1
Domestic	0.40	0.49	0	1
Relative export frequency in main destination markets				
Germany	0.46	0.50	0	1
France	0.43	0.50	0	1
Benelux	0.34	0.47	0	1
Other UE countries	0.51	0.50	0	1
UK	0.35	0.48	0	1
Other Western European countries	0.41	0.49	0	1
USA	0.30	0.46	0	1
Extra UE countries	0.49	0.50	0	1
Incidence of exports on total turnover by destination markets (%) (*)				
Total	31.3	27.7	0.1	100.0
Germany	6.7	12.1	0.0	90.0
France	4.3	7.1	0.0	76.5
Benelux	1.4	3.3	0.0	53.2
Other UE countries	4.6	6.3	0.0	51.2
Euro zone	17.0	18.5	0.0	99.8
UK	2.3	5.5	0.0	53.3
Other Western European countries	1.9	3.6	0.0	49.5
USA	4.1	10.6	0.0	100.0
Extra UE countries	5.9	10.2	0.0	95.5

Source: ISAE business surveys.

(*): Figures calculated on the sub-sample of exporting firms.

Firstly, the entire time interval was split into two sub-periods: the two year period 1997-98 prior to the adoption of the euro as the common currency unit; and the subsequent 1999-2001 three-year period. With reference to each of the two sub-samples, we considered a exporting firm to be a sample unit exporting for at least one quarter to one or more destination markets. Secondly, we classified firms into four types: persistent (“11” group, comprising almost 53% of the panel), the firms selected as exporters in Eurozone countries in both sub-periods, respectively prior to and following the euro introduction; entering (“01” group, comprising 4.2% of the panel), the subset of production units which sold their production exclusively on the domestic market in the period 1997-98 and significantly increased their frequency of exporting to eurozone markets after euro adoption; leavers (“10” group, representing 3.3% of the sample), those firms which stopped the exporting activity (observed in the previous two years) subsequently to the introduction of the common currency; domestic (group “00”, amounting to about 40% of the sample), the sub set of non-exporting firms, i.e. those sampling units which were neither entrants nor leavers.

5 Empirical strategy

We used a panel data technique for the empirical strategy. A major reason for this choice was that it enabled us to control for the correlated time invariant heterogeneity².

We performed a Hausman specification test to check for the presence of correlation between explanatory variables and individual effects. The results show that the null hypothesis of zero correlation was rejected: for our purposes, the fixed effects model (FEM) seems more reliable than the random effects model (REM)³. However, FEM suffers from the major shortcoming that it is unable to provide estimates of time invariant regressors.

In order to overcome this problem we decided to use a Hausman and Taylor estimator (HT). The HT method is a 2SLS random effect model that allows one to deal with correlation between regressors and unobserved

² OLS suffer from heterogeneity bias in a gravity setting. The two most widely used panel data models are the random effect model (REM) and the fixed effect model (FEM): both can control for heterogeneity. Their assumptions are different. REM models require that unobserved bilateral effects are \sim n.i.i. and orthogonal to the remaining part of the error term. Regressors have to be uncorrelated to individual effects and error terms for all cross sections and time periods. If the orthogonality conditions hold, the REM yields more efficient estimates than FE estimators do. If the explanatory variables are correlated with unobserved individual effects, the FEM is consistent.

³ The test statistic of 467.66 is greater than the chi-squared critical value with 6 degrees of freedom at the 0.001 significance level (22.46); therefore the null hypothesis that the REM is consistent is rejected.

individual effects. Using an HT estimator makes it possible to estimate parameters of time invariant regressors.

The HT model in a general and bilateral form is as follows:

$$Y_{ijt} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 X_{1ijt} + \beta_2 X_{2ijt} + \delta_1 Z_{1ij} + \delta_2 Z_{2ij} + e_{ijt} \quad (5)$$

$$e_{ijt} = \mu_{ij} + v_{ijt} \quad (6)$$

where α_0 is the constant term, μ_{ij} is the unobserved individual effect, v_{ijt} is the white noise error term, X_1 are the time-varying variables uncorrelated with μ_{ij} ; X_2 are the time-varying variables correlated with μ_{ij} ; Z_1 are time-invariant variables uncorrelated with μ_{ij} ; Z_2 are time invariant variables correlated with μ_{ij} .

μ_{ij} is the part of e_{ijt} including all the bilateral characteristics not specifically modelled in X_1 , X_2 , Z_1 and Z_2 . It also includes the unobserved trade resistance variables, both bilateral and country-specific:

$$\mu_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + k_i + \lambda_j \quad (7)$$

where η_{ij} are the bilateral specific effects, k_i and λ_j are importer and exporter country characteristics.

The presence of X_2 and Z_2 causes correlation with unobserved individual effects. The HT model uses variables already included in the model to instrument X_2 and Z_2 ⁴.

In the empirical literature, different selection procedures are used to select the variables correlated with μ_{ij} . It is possible to select instruments on the basis of economic intuition (Hausman and Taylor (1981)) or by following different procedures⁵. We will discuss this issue further in section 7.

⁴ In detail, X_2 can be instrumented by deviation from the group means of X_2 ; Z_2 can be instrumented by deviation from the group means of X_1 . The model is identified as long as the number of variables in X_1 is greater than the number of variables in Z_2 .

⁵ See for instance Walsh (2006).

6 EQUATION

In line with most of the empirical literature on trade flows, we estimated a gravity equation. The main differences with respect the usual specification were the following:

- 1) the dependent variable in the estimates was the firm's bilateral export turnover, as share of total turnover, varying from 0 (firm does not export) to 100 (firm exports its total production);
- 2) exporting firms were only Italian firms, while importing countries were the main Eurozone countries (Germany, France, Benelux, and other main EU and extra EU markets) (see section 4).

The time span was 1997-2001, covering the two years before and the three years after the euro introduction. The panel was balanced; the dataset contained more than 32.000 observations.

We introduced three sets of variables into the gravity equation: i) standard gravity variables, ii) the euro dummy and the "firm groups" dummies, built as explained in section 4, and their interaction terms to capture the effect of euro introduction on extensive and intensive margins of trade, iii) a set of year dummies to control for all the time characteristics not captured in the specification and the average number of employee for each firm over the period 1997-2001, a variable to control for the size of firms.

As for standard gravity variables, as usual in the gravity framework, we used bilateral distance as a proxy for transport costs and the sum of the importer's and exporter's GDP as proxies for the "mass".

The estimated equation took the following form:

$$\ln ET_{ijt} = b1 \ln \text{SumGDP}_{ijt} + b2 \ln \text{Dist}_{ij} + b3 \text{duEURO}_{jt} + b4 \text{FirmEmp}_i + b5 \text{InterEuro01}_i + b6 \text{InterEuro10}_i + b7 \text{InterEuro11}_i + b8 \text{TIME} + e_{ijt} \quad (8)$$

where:

- \ln is the natural logarithm, i is the exporting firm, j is the importing country and t is the year;
- ET_{ijt} is the export turnover of firm i to market j at time t , expressed as a share of firm total turnover (varying from 0 to 100);
- SumGDP_{ijt} is the sum of the gross domestic product of the exporting and importing countries, a proxy for the "mass", i.e. the size of the countries involved in bilateral trade;

- $\ln \text{Dist}_{ij}$ is the great circle distance between i and j ⁶; this formula approximates the shape of the earth as a sphere and calculates the minimum distance across the surface;
- duEURO_{jt} is the dummy Euro, taking value 0 if exports are directed towards Eurozone countries from 1999, 0 otherwise;
- FirmEmp_i is the average number of employees for each firm i with respect to the period 1997-2001;
- InterEuro01_i is the interaction term between duEURO and group 01, as defined in section 4;
- InterEuro10_i is the interaction term between duEURO and group 10;
- InterEuro11_i is the interaction term between duEURO and group 11;
- TIME is a set of time dummies.

We expected bilateral export turnover to be positively influenced by: i) the sum of the importing and exporting countries' GDPs. In gravity models, trade flows are positively influenced by the "mass" proxied by the sum of GDP; ii) the size of the exporting firm. The larger the exporting firm, the greater should be its ability to exploit economies of scale and to afford the sunk costs of exporting activity; iii) Euro dummy. The introduction of the common currency should have boosted export flows through elimination of the costs related to economic exchanges in different currencies and through greater price transparency.

We expected bilateral import flows to be negatively influenced by: i) distance. According to the standard gravity model, bilateral distance is a proxy for transport costs and cultural proximity between two countries.

The main task of the empirical analysis was to estimate the effect of euro adoption on the exporting behaviour of Italian manufacturing firms. The availability of information about export destination markets was crucial for disentangling the effects of euro introduction on intensive and extensive margins: the start-up of a firm's export activity in coincidence with the euro introduction (export turnover share became positive) would show the existence of extensive margins; the increase in export turnover of firms already present in Eurozone markets due to introduction of the common currency would show the effects of this latter on intensive margins.

⁶ Required to calculate great circle distances are the longitude and latitude of the capital or "economic center" of each economy in the study. The following formula is then applied to obtain the distance measured in miles: $D_{ij} = 3962.6 \arccos([\sin(Y_i) \cdot \sin(Y_j)] (6) + [\cos(Y_i) \cdot \cos(Y_j) \cdot \cos(X_i - X_j)])$, where X is longitude in degrees multiplied by 57.3 to convert it to radians and Y is latitude multiplied by -57.3 (assuming it is measured in degrees West).

To this end, our main variables of interest were the euro dummy and the interaction terms between the latter and the “entering firms” group (group 01) and the “persistent firms” group (group 11).

7 ESTIMATES RESULTS

We estimated our equation (8) using an HT estimator. As said, the choice of variables correlated with residuals is crucial in this kind of model. We tested several variables potentially correlated with unobserved individual effects. The choice of the feasible set of instruments depended on the deviation of the estimates from FE estimates⁷. The basic idea was that the narrower the gap with FE estimates, the lower the correlation of the independent variables with residuals.

Indeed, to test the appropriateness of the choice of variables correlated with unobserved individual effects, we performed a Sargan Hansen over-identification test based on comparison between the HT and Within estimators.

The main empirical results are shown in table 2. We identified HT1 as our preferred version: the sum of GDP, the euro dummy and the average number of

Tab. 2 The impact of euro introduction on export turnover

Number of obs: 32920	Time span 1997-2001				
	Hausman-Taylor ⁸				
Number of groups 6584	within	GLS	HT1	HT2	HT3
$\ln \text{SumGDP}_{ijt}$	1.093***	1.108***	1.093***	1.088***	1.117***
$\ln \text{DIST}_{ij}$	-	-1.024***	-1.035***	-1.029***	-3.729***
duEURO_{jt}	0.031	0.137***	0.031	0.031	0.132***
FirmEmp_i	-	0.000***	0.032***	0.029***	0.000***
InterEuro01_i	1.048***	0.980***	1.048***	1.047***	0.936***
InterEuro11_i	0.282***	0.421***	0.282***	0.283***	0.315***
InterEuro10_i	-0.215	-0.284	-0.214	-0.214	-0.212
<i>TIME</i>	yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes
Constant	-13.67***	-6.53***	-10.16***	-10.16	-9.78***
Hausman test $\chi^2(6)$		450.40			
Sargan-Hansen Over-Identification Test			$\chi^2(5) = 0.131$	$\chi^2(10) = 4.103$	$\chi^2(7) = 716.418$

Regressors in Z_{ij} included but with unrecorded coefficients: *** significant at 1% , ** significant at 5%, * significant at 10%.

⁷ FEM results were taken as benchmarks: the within estimator is a consistent estimator of parameters, controlling for any source of correlation between regressors and unobserved individual effects.

⁸ HT1: instruments (LnSumGDP, FirmEmp, duEuro); HT2: (FirmEmp, duEuro); HT3: (LnSumGDP, distance).

employees were the most important sources of correlation between the explanatory variables and unobserved specific effects⁹. The Sargan Hansen over-identification test did not reject the hypothesis of legitimacy of our choice of instruments.

As for the gravity standard variables, the estimates results have the expected signs and are statistically significant: export turnover of Italian manufacturing firms is positively affected by the “mass” of export destination markets and it is negatively affected by transport costs (geographical distance).

Also firm size, proxied by the average number of employees, seems to confirm the theoretical prediction: the larger the firm, the greater its export turnover, which confirms that export capacity is strictly linked to the possibility of exploiting scale economies through better management and efficiency.

According to the prevailing empirical evidence, the Euro dummy is potentially correlated with unobserved individual effects at firm-level. This relates to the fact that at least a sub-sample of exporting firms have adjusted their exporting strategy so to as to take proper account of the effects of euro adoption (changing, for example, the set/quality of goods produced and the number of destination markets). If account is not taken of this source of bias (as in HT3), the Euro dummy coefficient is estimated as positive and statistically significant, as in the case of random effect estimates (see GLS column), and also the magnitude of the coefficient is very similar. In other terms, if one does not suitably control for the correlation with unobserved individual effects, the coefficients estimate of the Euro dummy may be upward biased, and one would have estimated a global significant impact on international trade due to Euro adoption.

The Euro dummy coefficient is indeed statistically not significant. This result shows that exports by the Italian manufacturing firms in our sample did not benefit from euro adoption. This evidence seems in line with other empirical findings, both on aggregate and sectoral level, showing no evidence of a positive impact on Italian exports by euro adoption¹⁰. However further information on the effect of the common currency can be drawn from interaction terms between euro dummy and “entering” and “persistent” firm groups. The positive signs of these variables indicate their contribution to growth in export turnover: in the former case, the positive effect of the common currency works through extensive margins; in the latter, the positive contribution derives from an increase in intensive margins.

⁹ Coefficients are very close to within estimates coefficients, see table 2. We prefer this version with respect HT2, that is very similar but differs a little more from within estimator coefficients.

¹⁰ See ISAE(2007), de Nardis et al. (2008a, 2008b).

The magnitude of the interaction term coefficient between the Euro dummy and “entering firms” is much greater than the coefficient between the Euro dummy and “persistent” firms. Also in the Italian case, previous empirical findings, both at macro and micro level, seem to be confirmed: extensive margins seem to have had a greater role than intensive margins in explaining export growth¹¹.

But how can the fact that euro adoption is not statistically significant be reconciled with the evidence of a positive effect deriving from extensive and intensive margins? This dichotomy seems to show that the positive impact of the euro on export turnover has not been so large as to be statistically significant for all the firms in the sample. In other words, on the one hand a positive impact has been exerted on export turnover by “persistent” and “entering” firms; on the other, the group of non exporter firms has remained very large: the adoption of the euro has not changed the export behaviour of these firms, which have not benefited from the reduction in fixed exporting costs. Only a very small number of firms in our sample changed their status by switching from non exporting to exporting activity; those that did change their status, however, gave positive support to the growth of export turnover, confirming the importance of extensive margins of trade. Also the positive contribution from intensive margins does not seem large enough to be evident for the sample of firms as a whole.

The characteristics of Italian manufacturing firms probably play a role in this result: the size and export specialisation of firms may partially explain this phenomenon. According to theoretical indications, the introduction of the common currency may have affected trade to a greater extent in those sectors where it is easier to exploit scale economies and the high differentiation of products. The scarce presence of Italian firms in some of these sectors has probably reduced, *ceteris paribus*, the possibility of benefiting from the (limited) trade increases due to the common currency.

To test this hypothesis directly, we grouped our firms according to a classification à la Pavitt. Four different dummy variables (traditional, scale intensive, specialised suppliers, science based) were built. They took value 1 if the firm belonged to sectors classified in these groups, 0 otherwise. We then built the interaction term between each of these groups and the “entering firms” group (group 01), because we were mainly interested in disentangling the switching firms by sector. The results are shown in table 3.

As expected, among “entering” firms, a positive contribution to export turnover growth was made by those belonging to scale intensive sectors. It is interesting that also firms in the traditional goods sector contributed positively to

¹¹ At micro level, recent empirical work by Berthou and Fontagné (2008) reaches the same result for France.

export turnover. This result is not surprising, however, considering the characteristics of these goods in the Italian case, where they are usually highly differentiated vertically (quality) and horizontally (brand etc.). This evidence seems in line with other empirical findings¹².

Tab. 3 Disentangling Group 01 by a sectors classification à la Pavitt

Number of obs: 32920	Number of groups 6584
Time span 1997-2001	
	HT1
$\ln \text{SumGDP}_{ijt}$	1.085***
$\ln \text{DIST}_{ij}$	-1.027***
duEURO_{jt}	0.033
FirmEmp_i	0.032***
$\text{Inter_traditional*Group01}$	1.237***
$\text{Inter_scale_intensive*Group01}$	1.030***
$\text{Inter_science-based*Group01}$	0.376
$\text{Inter_spec._suppliers*Group01}$	-0.657
InterEuro11_i	0.280***
InterEuro10_i	-0.216
<i>TIME</i>	Yes
Constant	-10.563***
Sargan-Hansen Over-Identification Test	$\chi^2(11)=$ 0.307

Also as regard firm size, its importance was tested directly by the empirical analysis. The findings confirm that the small size of Italian manufacturing firms, a well known stylised fact, may have impeded them – through the difficulty of exploiting economies of scale and greater efficiency – from taking the opportunity of internationalisation offered by the reduction of transaction costs.

8 CONCLUSIONS

Empirical evidence on the effects of euro adoption on intra-area trade flows has, in general, shown a positive and statistically significant impact, but one limited in size, and lower than expected. Further effects of common currency adoption have been: i) a heterogeneous impact on member states' export flows, with some countries seeming not to benefit from the common currency; ii) a positive impact also on some economies that opted out of

¹² See Baldwin et al. (2005).

eurozone membership (no trade-diversion effects); iii) the lack of any price convergence despite the increasing integration demonstrated by a rise in intra-area trade flows. All these facts have increased doubts regarding the effects of decreasing fixed transaction costs on a (modest) increase in euro-area trade flows. Various factors may have played a role. The recent theoretical literature has stressed the importance of so-called “new goods” in explaining trends in bilateral trade since euro introduction: goods that were previously produced and traded only in domestic markets by less competitive firms, i.e. firms unable to afford fixed export costs, have been sold also in foreign markets since 1999, when these fixed costs were reduced by euro introduction..

In this paper we investigated these aspects in regard to the Italian experience. The availability of original firm level data taken from ISAE surveys has enabled us to disentangle exporting and non exporting firms in Eurozone markets before and after 1999. We have thus been able to investigate the “new goods” hypothesis at a micro level, shedding light on a reality more complex than that depicted by aggregate approaches. We can summarize our findings thus:

- The euro has had a positive impact on export turnover of Italian manufacturing firms mainly through the entry into Eurozone markets of firms which did not export before 1999; this result confirms the importance of the “new goods” hypothesis and, more in general, previous evidence on the importance of so called “extensive margins” of trade.
- Also firms already exporting in eurozone markets before 1999 have contributed to export turnover growth; in line with other findings, the impact of “intensive margins” has been lower than that of “extensive margins”.
- Firm size and sector have influenced the entry of “new” firms into EMU markets since euro introduction: larger firms operating in scale intensive sectors or producing highly differentiated goods have probably benefited from fixed costs reductions by starting to sell abroad after 1999
- Despite the positive impact of extensive and intensive margins on export turnover, the euro introduction has not boosted export flows of Italian manufacturing firms as a whole. This finding may be due to the fact that the majority of the firms in our sample did not export to EMU markets before 1999 and did not change their behaviour after 1999, more than off-setting the positive impact deriving from “entering” and “persistent” firms.

REFERENCES

- Baldwin R. and Di Nino V., (2006) "Euros and zeros: The common currency effect on trade in new goods", HEI Working Paper No: 21/2006.
- Baldwin R. (2006), "In or Out: Does it Matter? - An Evidence-based Analysis of the Trade Effects of the Euro", CEPR June.
- Baldwin R., F. Skudelny and D. Taglioni (2005), "Trade effects of the euro: evidence from sectoral data," ECB Working Paper Series No. 446, February.
- Baldwin R., V. Di Nino, L. Fontagnè, R.A. De Santis, D. Taglioni (2008), "Study on the Impact of the Euro on Trade and Foreign Direct Investment", European Economy Economic Papers n.321, May.
- Berthou A. And Fontagnè L., (2008), "The Euro and Intensive and Extensive margins of Trade: Evidence from French Firm Level Data", CEPII working paper, n.06, april.
- Besedes T. and Prusa T.J., (2007), "The Role of Extensive and Intensive Margins and Export Growth", NBER Working Paper 13628, November.
- De Nardis, S., De Santis R., Vicarelli C. (2008a) "The Single Currency's Effects on Eurozone Sectoral Trade: Winners and Losers?", Economics, The Open Access, Open Assesment E-Journal, vol. 2, 2008-17.
- De Nardis, S., De Santis R., Vicarelli C. (2008b) "The Euro's Effect on Trade in a Dynamic Setting ", European Journal of Comparative Economics, vol. 5, n. 1, pp. 73-85.
- Evenett, S. J. and Venables A.J. (2002), "Export Growth in Developing Countries: Market Entry and Bilateral Trade Flows," University of Bern working paper, mimeo.
- Felbermayr, G J. and Kohler W. (2006), "Exploring the Intensive and Extensive Margins of World Trade," Review of World Economics, 142(4):642-674.
- Felbermayr, G. J. and Kohler W. (2007), "Does WTO Membership Make a Difference at the Extensive Margin of World Trade?" CESifo Working Paper No. 1898.
- Flam, H. and H. Nordstrom (2006), "Euro effects on the intensive and extensive margins of trade", CESifo Working Paper Series n. 1881.
- Hausman J.A. and W.E.Taylor (1981), "Panel Data and Unobservable Individual Effects", *Econometrica*, 49, 6, 1377-98.
- ISAE (2007), Gli effetti della moneta unica sul commercio degli Stati Membri. La posizione competitiva dell' Italia", in *Le Previsioni per l'economia italiana. L'Italia nell'integrazione europea*, marzo.
- Helpman E., P. Krugman (1985), *Market Structure and Foreign Trade*, MIT press.

- Helpman, E., Melitz M. and Rubinstein Y. (2007), "Estimating Trade Flows: Trading Partners and Trading Volumes," NBER Working Paper No. 12927.
- Hummels, D. and Klenow P. L. (2005), "The Variety and Quality of a Nation's Exports," *American Economic Review*, 95(3):704–723.
- Melitz J. (2003), "The impact of trade on intraindustry reallocations and aggregate industry productivity", *Econometria*, 71, pp. 1695-725.
- Micco A., Stein E. and G. Ordoñez (2003). "The Currency Union effect on Trade: Early Evidence from EMU", *Economic Policy*, 18(37), 317-356.
- Walsh K. (2006), "Trade in Services: Does Gravity Hold? A Gravity Model Approach to Estimating Barriers to Services Trade", Mimeo.

Working Papers available:

n. 47/05	M. MALGARINI P. MARGANI B.M. MARTELLI	Re-engineering the ISAE manufacturing survey
n. 48/05	R. BASILE A. GIUNTA	Things change. Foreign market penetration and firms' behaviour in industrial districts: an empirical analysis
n. 49/05	C. CICONI	Building smooth indicators nearly free of end-of-sample revisions
n. 50/05	T. CESARONI M. MALGARINI G. ROCCHETTI	L'inchiesta ISAE sugli investimenti delle imprese manifatturiere ed estrattive: aspetti metodologici e risultati
n. 51/05	G. ARBIA G. PIRAS	Convergence in per-capita GDP across European regions using panel data models extended to spatial autocorrelation effects
n. 52/05	L. DE BENEDICTIS R. DE SANTIS C. VICARELLI	Hub-and-Spoke or else? Free trade agreements in the "enlarged" European Union
n. 53/05	R. BASILE M. COSTANTINI S. DESTEFANIS	Unit root and cointegration tests for cross-sectionally correlated panels. Estimating regional production functions
n. 54/05	C. DE LUCIA M. MEACCI	Does job security matter for consumption? An analysis on Italian microdata
n. 55/05	G. ARBIA R. BASILE G. PIRAS	Using Spatial Panel Data in Modelling Regional Growth and Convergence
n. 56/05	E. D'ELIA	Using the results of qualitative surveys in quantitative analysis
n. 57/05	D. ANTONUCCI A. GIRARDI	Structural changes and deviations from the PPP within the Euro Area
n. 58/05	M. MALGARINI P. MARGANI	Psychology, consumer sentiment and household expenditures: a disaggregated analysis
n. 59/05	P. MARGANI R. RICCIUTI	Equivalenza Ricardiana in economia aperta: un'analisi dinamica su dati panel

Working Papers available:

n. 60/05	M. BOSCHI A. GIRARDI	Euro Area inflation: long-run determinants and short-run dynamics
n. 61/05	M. BOVI	Book-Tax Gap. An Income Horse Race
n. 62/06	M. BOVI	The Cyclical Behavior of Shadow and Regular Employment
n. 63/06	G. BRUNO C. LUPI C. PAPPALARDO G. PIRAS	The cross-country effects of EU holidays on domestic GDP's
n. 64/06	M. COZZOLINO F. DI NICOLA M. RAITANO	Il futuro dei fondi pensione: opportunità e scelte sulla destinazione del TFR
n. 65/06	S. LEPROUX M. MALGARINI	Clima di fiducia e spesa delle famiglie in Italia: un'analisi disaggregata secondo il reddito degli intervistati
n. 66/06	M. BOVI	Consumers Sentiment and Cognitive Macroeconometrics Paradoxes and Explanations
n. 67/06	G. ROCCHETTI	Modelli di business nel mercato del software e partecipazione delle imprese italiane al fenomeno open source
n. 68/06	L. CROSILLA	La stagionalità delle inchieste ISAE su imprese e consumatori: aspetti metodologici ed evidenza empirica
n. 69/06	C. OLDANI	Money demand & futures
n. 70/06	R. BASILE S. DE NARDIS A. GIRARDI	Pricing to market of italian exporting firms
n. 71/06	B.M. MARTELLI G. ROCCHETTI	The ISAE Market Services Survey: Methodological Upgrading, Survey Reliability
n. 72/06	M. FIORAMANTI	Predicting sovereign debt crises using artificial neural networks: a comparative approach
n. 73/06	S. ZECCHINI M. VENTURA	Public Credit Guarantees and SME Finance

Working Papers available:

n. 74/06	G. FERRI M. VENTURA	Macchinari del <i>made in Italy</i> e dinamiche dei distretti industriali
n. 75/07	R. BASILE	Intra-distribution dynamics of regional per-capita income in Europe: evidence from alternative conditional density estimators
n. 76/07	M. BOVI	National Accounts, Fiscal Rules and Fiscal Policy Mind the Hidden Gaps
n. 77/07	L. CROSILLA S. LEPROUX	Leading indicators on construction and retail trade sectors based on ISAE survey data
n. 78/07	R. CERQUETI M. COSTANTINI	Non parametric Fractional Cointegration Analysis
n. 79/07	R. DE SANTIS C. VICARELLI	The “deeper” and the “wider” EU strategies of trade integration
n. 80/07	S. de NARDIS R. DE SANTIS C. VICARELLI	The Euro’s Effects on Trade in a Dynamic Setting
n. 81/07	M. BOVI R. DELL’ANNO	The Changing Nature of the OECD Shadow Economy
n. 82/07	C. DE LUCIA	Did the FED Inflate a Housing Price Bubble? A Cointegration Analysis between the 1980s and the 1990s
n. 83/07	T. CESARONI	Inspecting the cyclical properties of the Italian Manufacturing Business survey data
n. 84/07	M. MALGARINI	Inventories and business cycle volatility: an analysis based on ISAE survey data
n. 85/07	D. MARCHESI	The Rule Incentives that Rule Civil Justice
n. 86/07	M. COSTANTINI S. de NARDIS	Estimates of Structural Changes in the Wage Equation: Some Evidence for Italy
n. 87/07	R. BASILE M. MANTUANO	La concentrazione geografica dell’industria in Italia: 1971-2001
n. 88/07	S. de NARDIS R. DE SANTIS C. VICARELLI	The single currency’s effects on Eurozone sectoral trade: winners and losers?
n. 89/07	B.M. MARTELLI G. ROCCHETTI	Cyclical features of the ISAE business services series

Working Papers available:

n. 90/08	M. MALGARINI	Quantitative inflation perceptions and expectations of Italian Consumers
n. 91/08	P. L. SCANDIZZO M. VENTURA	Contingent valuation of natural resources: a case study for Sicily
n. 92/08	F. FULLONE B.M. MARTELLI	Re-thinking the ISAE Consumer Survey Processing Procedure
n. 93/08	M. BOVI P. CLAEYS	Treasury v dodgers. A tale of fiscal consolidation and tax evasion
n. 94/08	R. DI BIASE	Aliquote di imposta sul lavoro dipendente: analisi per figure tipo e con dati campionari
n. 95/08	M. BOVI	The "Psycho-analysis" of Common People's Forecast Errors. Evidence from European Consumer Surveys
n. 96/08	F. BUSATO A. GIRARDI A. ARGENTIERO	Technology and non-technology shocks in a two-sector economy
n. 97/08	A. GIRARDI	The Informational Content of Trades on the EuroMTS Platform
n. 98/08	G. BRUNO	Forecasting Using Functional Coefficients Autoregressive Models
n. 99/08	A. MAJOCCHI A. ZATTI	Land Use, Congestion and Urban Management
n. 100/08	A. MAJOCCHI	Theories of Fiscal Federalism and the European Experience